COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS WILLIAM FRANCIS GALVIN SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH

WARRANT FOR STATE ELECTION

Middlesex, SS.

To the Constables of the City/Town of Acton

GREETING:

In the name of the Commonwealth, you are hereby required to notify and warn the inhabitants of said city or town who are qualified to vote in the State Election to vote at

POLLING LOCATIONS:

Precinct Pl	<u>ace</u>	Address
1, 2 & 6	CONANT SCHOOL	80 TAYLOR ROAD
3, 4 & 5	BLANCHARD AUDITORUM, JR. HIGH SCHOOL	CHARTER ROAD
on TUESDAY purpose:	7, THE SIXTH DAY OF NOVEMBER, 2012, from 7:00 A	.M. to 8:00 P.M. for the following
To cast their ve	otes in the State Election for the candidates for the following	offices and questions:
SENATOR REPRESE COUNCIL SENATOR REPRESE REPRESE CLERK O REGISTE	RS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT	FOR THIS COMMONWEALTH THIRD DISTRICT THIRD DISTRICT ESEX / WORCESTER DISTRICT EENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT FENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT MIDDLESEX COUNTY OLESEX SOUTHERN DISTRICT

QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any motor vehicle manufacturer, starting with model year 2015, from selling or leasing, either directly or through a dealer, a new motor vehicle without allowing the owner to have access to the same diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturer's dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and be in the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer's dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, the same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. The information would be available for purchase on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, all diagnostic repair tools, incorporating the same diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such tools would have to be made available for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-theft device that prevents a vehicle from being started unless the correct key code is present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facilities, and owners through a separate, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized repair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufacturer's compliance with the proposed law.

Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and unfair trade-practices laws.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturers' Massachusetts dealers and authorized repair facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at a terminally ill patient's request, to end that patient's life. To qualify, a patient would have to be an adult resident who (1) is medically determined to be mentally capable of making and communicating health care decisions; (2) has been diagnosed by attending and consulting physicians as having an incurable, irreversible disease that will, within reasonable medical judgment, cause death within six months; and (3) voluntarily expresses a wish to die and has made an informed decision. The proposed law states that the patient would ingest the medicine in order to cause death in a humane and dignified manner.

The proposed law would require the patient, directly or through a person familiar with the patient's manner of communicating, to orally communicate to a physician on two occasions, 15 days apart, the patient's request for the medication. At the time of the second request, the physician would have to offer the patient an opportunity to rescind the request. The patient would also have to sign a standard form, in the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is not a relative, a beneficiary of the patient's estate, or an owner, operator, or employee of a health care facility where the patient receives treatment or lives.

The proposed law would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and prognosis, the potential risks and probable result of ingesting the medication, and the feasible alternatives, including comfort care, hospice care and pain control; (3) refer the patient to a consulting physician for a diagnosis and prognosis regarding the patient's disease, and confirmation in writing that the patient is capable, acting voluntarily, and making an informed decision; (4) refer the patient for psychiatric or psychological consultation if the physician believes the patient may have a disorder causing impaired judgment; (5) recommend that the patient notify next of kin of the patient's intention; (6) recommend that the patient have another person present when the patient ingests the medicine and to not take it in a public place; (7) inform the patient that he or she may rescind the request at any time; (8) write the prescription when the requirements of the law are met, including verifying that the patient is making an informed decision; and (9) arrange for the medicine to be dispensed directly to the patient, or the patient's agent, but not by mail or courier.

The proposed law would make it punishable by imprisonment and/or fines, for anyone to (1) coerce a patient to request medication, (2) forge a request, or (3) conceal a rescission of a request. The proposed law would not authorize ending a patient's life by lethal injection, active euthanasia, or mercy killing. The death certificate would list the underlying terminal disease as the cause of death.

Participation under the proposed law would be voluntary. An unwilling health care provider could prohibit or sanction another health care provider for participating while on the premises of, or while acting as an employee of or contractor for, the unwilling provider.

The proposed law states that no person would be civilly or criminally liable or subject to professional discipline for actions that comply with the law, including actions taken in good faith that substantially comply. It also states that it should not be interpreted to lower the applicable standard of care for any health care provider.

A person's decision to make or rescind a request could not be restricted by will or contract made on or after January 1, 2013, and could not be considered in issuing, or setting the rates for, insurance policies or annuities. Also, the proposed law would require the attending physician to report each case in which life-ending medication is dispensed to the state Department of Public Health. The Department would provide public access to statistical data compiled from the reports.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts was held invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law allowing a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at the request of a terminally-ill patient meeting certain conditions, to end that person's life.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

OUESTION 3: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would eliminate state criminal and civil penalties for the medical use of marijuana by qualifying patients. To qualify, a patient must have been diagnosed with a debilitating medical condition, such as cancer, glaucoma, HIV-positive status or AIDS, hepatitis C, Crohn's disease, Parkinson's disease, ALS, or multiple sclerosis. The patient would also have to obtain a written certification, from a physician with whom the patient has a bona fide physician-patient relationship, that the patient has a specific debilitating medical condition and would likely obtain a net benefit from medical use of marijuana.

The proposed law would allow patients to possess up to a 60-day supply of marijuana for their personal medical use. The state Department of Public Health (DPH) would decide what amount would be a 60-day supply. A patient could designate a personal caregiver, at least 21 years old, who could assist with the patient's medical use of marijuana but would be prohibited from consuming that marijuana. Patients and caregivers would have to register with DPH by submitting the physician's certification.

The proposed law would allow for non-profit medical marijuana treatment centers to grow, process and provide marijuana to patients or their caregivers. A treatment center would have to apply for a DPH registration by (1) paying a fee to offset DPH's administrative costs; (2) identifying its location and one additional location, if any, where marijuana would be grown; and (3) submitting operating procedures, consistent with rules to be issued by DPH, including cultivation and storage of marijuana only in enclosed, locked facilities.

A treatment center's personnel would have to register with DPH before working or volunteering at the center, be at least 21 years old, and have no felony drug convictions. In 2013, there could be no more than 35 treatment centers, with at least one but not more than five centers in each county. In later years, DPH could modify the number of centers.

The proposed law would require DPH to issue a cultivation registration to a qualifying patient whose access to a treatment center is limited by financial hardship, physical inability to access reasonable transportation, or distance. This would allow the patient or caregiver to grow only enough plants, in a closed, locked facility, for a 60-day supply of marijuana for the patient's own use.

DPH could revoke any registration for a willful violation of the proposed law. Fraudulent use of a DPH registration could be punished by up to six months in a house of correction or a fine of up to \$500, and fraudulent use of a registration for the sale, distribution, or trafficking of marijuana for non-medical use for profit could be punished by up to five years in state prison or by two and one-half years in a house of correction.

The proposed law would (1) not give immunity under federal law or obstruct federal enforcement of federal law; (2) not supersede Massachusetts laws prohibiting possession, cultivation, or sale of marijuana for nonmedical purposes; (3) not allow the operation of a motor vehicle, boat, or aircraft while under the influence of marijuana; (4) not require any health insurer or government entity to reimburse for the costs of the medical use of marijuana; (5) not require any health care professional to authorize the medical use of marijuana; (6) not require any accommodation of the medical use of marijuana in any workplace, school bus or grounds, youth center, or correctional facility; and (7) not require any accommodation of smoking marijuana in any public place.

The proposed law would take effect January 1, 2013, and states that if any of its part were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law eliminating state criminal and civil penalties related to the medical use of marijuana, allowing patients meeting certain conditions to obtain marijuana produced and distributed by new state-regulated centers or, in specific hardship cases, to grow marijuana for their own use.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws.

QUESTION 4 - THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING

Shall the state representative from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress to propose an amendment to the U.S. constitution affirming that (1) corporations are not entitled to the constitutional rights of human beings, and (2) both Congress and the states may place limits on political contributions and political spending?

Hereof fail not and make return of this warrant with your doings thereon at the time and place of said voting.
Given under our hands this 15 day of October, 2012.
Pamila Renty Pana (month)
Mile Long
Board of Selectmen : Acton (City or Town)
Warrants posted in public places as follows:
Nagog Woods Post Office, Town Hall, West Acton Post Office, Center Post Office, Public Safety Facility, Center Library and Town Web Page
Constable (month and 'day), 2012.

Warrant must be posted by October 23, 2012, (at least fourteen days prior to the November 6, 2012, State Election).

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

STATE ELECTION

OFFICIAL ABSENTEE BALLOT

ACTON Pcts. 1, 2, 6

990/990

Meller Fran Gelein SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Tuesday, November 6, 2012

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT	
JOHNSON and GRAY Libertarian	
OBAMA and BIDEN	0
ROMNEY and RYAN	0
STEIN and HONKALA	0
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	IJ
WRITE-IN SPACE DILLY	\bigcirc
SENATOR IN CONGRESS Veto for ONE	
SCOTT P. BROWN	0
70 Harden Woods, Whattern Conditate for the discline ELIZABETH A. WARREN	0
DO NOT YOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE DRLY	9
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS	
NICOLA S. TSONGAS	0
JONATHAN A. GOLNIK	0
USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN	1
WHETE-IN SPAIN COMY	9
COUNCILLOR TIMES 2 THE TOTAL OR TIMES 2 THE TOTAL ORE TIMES 2 THE TOTAL OR THE T	
H Westbirder Ave., Windows Continued Continued to Continu)
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE DELOW EDR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	0
WINITE-OF SPACE OULY	
ACTUATOR IN ACTUATION ACTUAT	
SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT HIDDLESEX & WORCESTER DISTRICT Voto for ONE	
INDPLESE A WORCESTER DISTRICT VARB FOR ORIE JAMES B. ELDRIDGE	
DEAM J. CAVARETTA	
DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
WRITE-IN SPACE CHLY	
REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT FOURTEENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT CORY ATKINS	
MICHAEL J. BENN	
747 Old Hardbarn RM, Cancount DO NOT YOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.)
WRITE-IN EPIACE ONLY	
HAILE OF PARK ONLY	
•	

14.4.4.000
Vote for ONE
Condition for Re-dection
S SPACE. OR WRITE-IN.
WHITE OF SPINE OF STREET
100
This for the
Democratik
SPACE. OR WRITE-IN
PROTE-MANAGE ONLY
Vote for Dile
Bemeratie
····
SPACE.
OR WRITE-IN.

QUESTION DAY PROPOSED BY WITHOUT PETITION DO you approve to live some state below, on with was taken by the Sendow or through of Representation May 1, 2012?

What was a superior of the sup

he may acture would have to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

facilities.

The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and the in the same form and accessible in the same names, a surrounded to the best of the same form and accessible in the same names.

the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer's dealers and authorized repair facilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Massachusetts to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, the same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities, the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. The information would be available for purchase on The minimation would be available but purchase on an hourly, delily, monthly, or yetly subscription basis, for no more than lair market value and on terms that do not unlairly favor dealers and authorized repair lacilities.

For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for pur-

induct year 2011, iii projussul and would assi require manufacturers to make available for pur-chase, by vehicle owners and in-stale independent repair facilities, all diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such holls would have to be made available for no more than lair market value and on terms that do not unfairly kavor dealers and authorized repair facilities. For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the Information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-theft device that pre-vents a vehicle from being started unless the cor-rect key code is present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facili-ties, and owners through a separale, secure data release system.

ties, and owners through a separale, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufac-turer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized regair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufac-turer's compliance with the proposed law. Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and unfair trade-practices laws.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made availation made for a adhorized most repair information made availation and a repair information made available mad authorized repair facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing

YES O-NO

CONTINUED ON BACK

OHESTION 2 LAW PRDPOSEO BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012? SUMMARY Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Sexale or the House of Representatives on or before May 1, 2012? **SUMMARY** This proposed law would allow a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe medication, at a terminally ill patient's request, to end that patient's tile. To qualify, a patient would have to be an adult resident who (1) is medically determined to be mentally capable of making and communicating health care decisions; (2) has been diagnosed by attending and constituting physicians as that wing an incurable, inversible diseases that will, within reasonable medical judgment, excelled seed with within 50 youlhardy expresses a wish to die and has made an informed decision. The proposed law states that the patient would inject the medication in order to cause death in a humane and dignified manner. The proposed law would require the patient directly or through a person familiar manner of communicate to a physician on two occasions, 15 days apart, the patient's exposes for the medication. At the time of the second request, the physician would have to offer the patient of including the presence of two witnesses, one of whom is not a retailive, a beneficiary of the patient's estate, or an owner, operator, or employee of a health care facility where the patient nealves treatment or lives. The proposed law would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and proposis; the bootental risks and would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient is qualified; (2) inform the patient of his or her medical diagnosis and proposis; the potential risks and would require the attending physician to: (1) determine if the patient in the patient to his or her medical diagnosis and proposis; the potential risks and probable respect to proposis and proposis; the patient in patient patient in the patient to a consultation of the patient patient patient patient patient patie A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law allowing a physician licensed in Massachusetts to prescribe ertain conditions, to end that person's life. A NO VOTE would make no change in existing laws. YES C NO C LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Sonds or the loads of the presentatives on or before May 1, 20127 SUMMARY This proposed law would eliminate state criminal and civil penalties for the notice of perincentralives on or before May 1, 20127 This proposed law would eliminate state criminal and civil penalties for the notice of including the condition, such as cancer, glaucoma, HIV-positive status or JUS, herbuits C, Cronsy diverse, Parkinson's disease, ALS, or multiple scienciss. The patient would also have to obtain a winten certification, from a physician with whom the part has a broad fee physical or particular relationship, that the patient has a specific debilitating medical condition and would filely obtain a net benefit from medical use of manipana. The proposed law would allow patients to possess up that not be yapply for manipana. The proposed law would allow patients to possess up that not be yapply for a proposed law sould allow for non-profit medical manipana be allowed to not so the patient so their caregivers. A treatment center would have to read with DFH by submitting the physician's certification. The proposed law would allow for non-profit medical manipana be almost account of the patient so their caregivers. A treatment center would have to non-profit medical manipana be almost an expensive body. Cy identifying its location and one additional location, if any, where manipana would be promit and 30 submitting operating procedures, consistant with only to be a part of the patient of their caregivers. A treatment center's personnel would man by the patient of the p The process have been accessed to the process of th YES A NO VOTE would make no cha existing laws. NO QUESTION 4 THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING I THIS QUESTION IS NOT BINDING Shall the state senator from this district be instructed to vote in favor of a resolution calling upon Congress to propose an amendment to the U.S. constitution affirming that (1) corporations are not entitled to the constitutional rights of human beings, and (2) both Congress and the states may place limits on political contributions and political spending? YFS NO

aan

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

STATE ELECTION

OFFICIAL **ABSENTEE BALLOT**

ACTON Pcts. 3-5

1290/1290

Inthem Francis Gelecin SECRETARY OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Tuesday, November 6, 2012

To vote for a candidate, fill in the oval to the right of the candidate's name. To vote for a person not on the ballot, write that person's name and residence in the blank space provided and fill in the oval.

_		
	ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT	
	JOHNSON and GRAY Language	0
	OBAMA and BIDEN	0
	ROMNEY and RYAN Republican	
	STEIN and HONKALA Grass-Statubou	
	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	173
		0
	WIRTE-IN SPACE DILLY	
	SENATOR IN CONGRESS	
	SCOTT P. BROWN Regelition Nitrate Ward, Worker	0
	ELIZABETH A. WARREN Democratic	0
	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
	USE BLANK LINE BELUW FUR WRITE-IN.	0
	WRITE-IN SPACE ONLY	
	REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS	
	HICOLA S. TSONGAS	0
_	JONATHAN A. GOLNIK Bankson	0
_	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE, IN.	7
	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IM.	8
	MALLE M EMOCK CALLS.	
	COUNCILLOR	
	MARILYN M. PETEFFO DEVANEY AND TO A ONE	
	THOMAS SHEFF	
	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.	
	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
	WRITE-IN SPACE DAILY	
	SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT	
	JAMES B. ELDRIDGE	
	287 Adington St., Acton Conditate the Ro-shedim DEAN J. CAVARETTA	
	199 West Action REL Stars DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE. USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
_	WRITE-W SPACE DOLLY	
	REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COLIRT	
	REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT INITITY SEVENTH MIDDLESEX DISTRICT VOTO FOR ONE JENNIFER E. BENSON	
	DO NOT VOTE IN THIS SPACE.)
	USE BLANK LINE BELOW FOR WRITE-IN.	
	WHITE-IN SPACE CHLY	

CLERK OF		Vote for ONE	
MICHAEL A.	SULLIVAN	· · · · · · · · Bamocratic	C
42 Horas Are, Combid	NOT VOTE IN T	Candidate for Re-election	
USE BLAI	AK LINE BELOW	FOR WRITE-IN.	
		WRITE-IN SPACE CRUZ	-
			N
REGISTER	UE UEEDS		
MINOTERET TOA		Apple for the	a.
MARIA C. CI		a sylva a a democratic	C
00	NOT VOTE IN TO		w
USE BLAN	HK LINE BELOW	FOR WRITE-IN	1
	A 200	MUTE BY PACE OF L	0
=			
	TO A		
SHERIFF	11.00		
	STY ITS HID YACASE	Veta for DIVE	
PETER J. KO	MAUSUOTUL	Bemertle	
ERNESTO M	PETRONE		-
In Private In Lines		10.0000	
UNE BLAN	NE THE BETOM HOL ACTE IN LI	FOR WRITE-IN.	
100			(
100		WRITE-IN SPACE CHILD	

QUESTION 1 LAW PROPOSED BY
APPLITATIVE PETITION
Do you approve ha the simposfued below, on which
was taken by the Senance for Husen of Representative
before May 1, 2012?

SUMMA

SUMMARY.

This proposed law wouls control any motor vehicle manufacturer, starting and model year 2015, from selling or lossing, either directly or bough a detailed, a new motor vehicle without allowing the owner to have access to the same bounder, and negligible more and the same bounder, and negligible more and in-state authorized regain facilities.

The manufacturer would have to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent.

In a management would nave to allow the owner, or the owner's designated in-state independent repair facility (one not affiliated with a manufacturer or its authorized dealers), to obtain diagnostic and repair information electronically, on an hourly, daily, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than fair market value and on terms that do not undarity favor dealers and authorized repair facilities.

note tall air maker value and on terms state or out untainly lavor dealers and authorized repair tacilities. The manufacturer would have to provide access to the information through a non-proprietary vehicle interface, using a standard applied in federal emissions-control regulations. Such information would have to include the same content, and be in the same form and accessible in the same manner, as is provided to the manufacturer's dealers and authorized repair facilities. For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would require a manufacturer of motor vehicles sold in Assachusels to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities. He same diagnostic and repair information that the manufacturer makes available through an electronic system to its dealers and in-state authorized repair facilities. Manufacturers would have to make such information available in the same form and manner, and to the same extent, as rave to make such involvational variance in use same form and manner, and to the same extent, as they do for dealers and authorized repair facilities. The information would be available for purchase on an hourly, dially, monthly, or yearly subscription basis, for no more than lair market value and on terms that do not untainly favor dealers and author-ized market polities.

basis, for no more man hair marker value and on terms that do not unlairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities. For vehicles manufactured from 2002 through model year 2014, the proposed law would also require manufacturers to make available for purchase, by vehicle owners and in-state independent repair facilities, all diagnostic repair tools, incorporating the same diagnostic, repair and wireless capabilities as those available to dealers and authorized repair facilities. Such tools would have to be made available for no more than bair market value and on terms that do not unfairly favor dealers and authorized repair facilities. For all years covered by the proposed law, the required diagnostic and repair information would not include the information necessary to reset a vehicle immobilizer, an anti-heth device that prevents a vehicle from being started unless the correct key code is present. Such information would have to be made available to dealers, repair facilities, and owners through a separate, secure data release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not inderfere

release system.

The proposed law would not require a manufacturer to reveal a trade secret and would not interfere with any agreement made by a manufacturer, dealer, or authorized repair facility that is in force on the effective date of the proposed law. Starting January 1, 2013, the proposed law would prohibit any agreement that waives or limits a manufacturer's compliance with the proposed law.

Any violation of the proposed law would be treated as a violation of existing state consumer protection and untair trade-practices laws.

A VES LIGHTE would general the proposed law.

protection and unair rate-practices laws.

A YES VOTE would enact the proposed law requiring motor vehicle manufacturers to allow vehicle owners and independent repair facilities in Massachusetts to have access to the same vehicle diagnostic and repair information made available to the manufacturers' Massachusetts dealers and authorised repair defailities. uthorized repair facilities

A NO VOTE would make no change in existing

YES C NO

CONTINUED ON BACK

NO

NU

1290